The specification is objected to under 37 CFR § 1.71 such that it purportedly fails to teach adequately how to make and use the invention. Claims 1-58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections, and requests reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims in view of the following arguments.

First of all, Applicant and the undersigned gratefully acknowledge the courtesies extended by the Examiner in the June 7, 2005, telephone interview on this matter. Pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 713.04, relevant topics discussed during the interview are as follows.

With regard to the objection to the specification, Applicant recognizes that the specification states that guidance and control module 22 may generate control signal 24 utilizing, for example, a suitable guidance algorithm. Applicant further recognizes that the specification refers to the guidance algorithm disclosed in "Advanced Navigation, Guidance and Control, and their Applications," Chapter 6, by Ching-Fang Line, published by Prentice Hall Series (1991).

However, as explained during the telephone interview, control module 22 (FIG. 1) is directed toward a prior art GPS-based guidance system, not the invention claimed by the present application. Applicant submits that the disclosure provided by the Ching-Fang Line reference is not necessary in order to make and use the claimed invention. With this understanding, the Examiner acknowledged that the specification is indeed enabling with regard to the claimed invention, and that withdrawal of the rejection to claims 1-58 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, was in order. Applicant therefore requests withdrawal of the objection to the specification, as well as the enablement rejection to claims 1-58.

## CONCLUSION

Applicant believes that the Examiner's rejections have been overcome and submits that the subject application is in condition for allowance. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

CUONG TU HUA

By:

Jeffrey J. Lotspeich Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 45,737

Dated:

June 17, 2005

THE MAXHAM FIRM
750 B' STREET, SUITE 3100
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101
TELEPHONE: (619) 233-9004
FACSIMILE: (619) 544-1246